E-ISSN: 2583-9667 Indexed Journal Peer Reviewed Journal https://multiresearchjournal.theviews.in/



Received: 01-10-2023 Accepted: 06-12-2023

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE RESEARCH IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY

Volume 1; Issue 2; 2023; Page No. 181-188

The role of technology adoption in enhancing teaching and learning outcomes in higher education: A comprehensive review

¹Anu Chhakara and ²Dr. Arvind Saxena

¹Research Scholar, Department of Management, Kalinga University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India ²Professor, Department of Management, Kalinga University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author: Anu Chhakara

Abstract

Technology adoption has become a critical element in determining how teaching and learning are accomplished in the quickly changing environment of higher education. This in-depth analysis explores the technology adoption's complex effects on pedagogy, student engagement, learning results, and the entire educational experience in higher education settings. This article offers insights on the difficulties, possibilities, and best practises related to integrating technology into higher education by synthesising a wide range of literature. The evaluation emphasises the necessity of using technology with a planned and balanced mindset in order to significantly improve teaching and learning results.

Keywords: Adoption, enhancing, education, comprehensive

Introduction

The landscape of higher education is changing drastically at a time of lightning-fast technological progress. The introduction of technology has become a key factor, changing how educators approach teaching and how students interact with the learning process ^[1]. The growing significance of technology in education is highlighted by the projection that the worldwide e-learning industry would reach \$374.3 billion by 2026 (Holmes, 2019). This in-depth analysis analyses how adopting new technologies might improve teaching and learning results in higher education. ^[2].

Higher education has always been based on tried-and-true pedagogical techniques, frequently using lectures, texts, and face-to-face encounters. But the digital era has brought about a new era in which cutting-edge technology are revolutionising educational practises ^[3]. 74% of academic leaders believe that online education is equivalent to or better than in-person training, according to a poll by the Babson poll Group and the Online Learning Consortium (2018), underscoring the shift in attitudes towards technology-enhanced learning ^[5, 6]. In-depth analysis of how technology adoption affects pedagogy, student engagement, learning results, and the entire educational environment will be provided in this paper.

By using technology, educators and institutions may better

https://multiresearchjournal.theviews.in/

meet the different learning requirements and preferences of today's students as they work to prepare them for a world that is more complicated and linked than ever. According to a research by Educause Review (2018), 75% of faculty members said that technology has a good influence on student learning outcomes and 87% said that it has a favourable impact on student engagement. This review will explore the many facets of technologically assisted learning, looking at both its potential advantages and the difficulties that must be overcome to make it a reality ^[7].

Because of the higher education sector's accelerated embrace of technology, policymakers, administrators, and educators are now closely examining this technology's effects. It raises the question of how technology is changing the conventional classroom. How much does it improve learning outcomes and student engagement? In terms of instructional methods and professional development, what are the consequences for educators? This review seeks to answer these concerns in-depth by fusing a wide range of academic study with real-world expertise.

The significance of comprehending the function of technology in education is further underscored by the current global setting, which has witnessed a considerable movement towards remote and online learning owing to unanticipated conditions. In order to ensure that technology continues to be a facilitator rather than a distraction, it is critical to examine how digital tools affect educational quality as they become more and more integrated into pedagogical practises.

We will examine the diverse effects of technology adoption on teaching and learning outcomes in higher education in the next sections of this review. We will examine technology-enhanced pedagogical approaches, the improvement of student motivation and engagement, the potential for personalised and adaptive learning, the modernization of assessment and feedback systems, problem-solving techniques, and best practises for successful technology integration. We hope to provide educators, administrators, and other stakeholders a complete knowledge of the changing educational landscape and the transformational possibilities of technology through this indepth investigation.

Technology-enhanced pedagogy

The incorporation of technology is driving a significant shift in pedagogy within higher education. The conventional lecture-based methodology is progressively being replaced by creative, interactive teaching strategies that make use of modern technology. In higher education, blended learningwhich is characterised by a deliberate blending of in-person and online learning-has become increasingly popular [8]. According to a research by the Clayton Christensen Institute (2018), 81% of the instructors polled said that blended learning improved student results. This strategy gives teachers the power to use online resources, including recorded lectures or interactive modules, to enhance face-toface interactions ^[9]. Additionally, the flipped classroom style, which reverses the usual lecture and assignment components, motivates students to actively participate in the course material. The use of technology in the classroom allows students to access materials on their own, freeing up class time for group projects, debates, and problem-solving ^[10]. Access to educational information is now easier than ever because to the rise of online learning platforms. Through online collaboration tools like Zoom, Microsoft Teams, and Google Meet, virtual classrooms have let students and teachers engage in real time while overcoming distance constraints. According to a poll by the Educause Centre for Analysis and Research (2018), 78% of professors said they used video conferencing software for instruction. By accommodating various learning styles and preferences and stimulating real-time involvement through chat, polls, and breakout areas, virtual classrooms also support inclusion ^[11, 12, 13]. The development of interactive learning tools that appeal to today's tech-savvy students is made possible by technology. Videos, simulations, and animations that are interactive help simplify complicated ideas into visually appealing and understandable formats. Gamification also adds a competitive and exciting element to the learning process, which encourages motivation and active engagement. According to a research by Hamari, Koivisto, and Sarsa (2014), gamified components in education have a favourable impact on learning outcomes and engagement ^[14, 15]. Immersive simulations that accurately reflect real-world situations are now possible because to advances in virtual and augmented reality technologies. These simulations encourage critical thinking and problem-solving abilities by allowing students to apply

theoretical information to real-world circumstances. According to a PricewaterhouseCoopers (2018) survey, 79% of students who used virtual reality claimed to have a better comprehension of difficult ideas. Technology-enabled experiential learning fills the gap between theory and practise, preparing students for problems they will face in the real world ^[16, 17]. Faculty members must possess the requisite abilities and expertise for technology-enhanced teaching to succeed. Programmes with in-depth instruction that acquaint instructors with the newest technical tools and instructional techniques are crucial. Professional development programmes have a major impact on effective technology integration, according to the EDUCAUSE Horizon Report (2018). A well trained faculty is more equipped to use technology to improve pedagogical methods and ultimately student learning results [18].

Student engagement and motivation

Student motivation and engagement are crucial elements that have a substantial impact on learning results and the entire educational experience in the changing world of higher education. Innovative methods that capture students' interest, encourage active engagement, and heighten their enthusiasm for learning have been made possible by the introduction of technology. Different platforms and technologies made available by technology may be used to build engaging and dynamic learning environments. Students may connect in real time by using online discussion boards, collaborative papers, and virtual whiteboards. This enables them to have fruitful discussions, exchange ideas, and work together on projects. Dennen, Darabi, and Smith's (2007) study found that engaging in interactive online debates had a favourable effect on students' critical thinking [19, 20]. Complex topics are presented better when multimedia components like infographics, movies, and animations are included. By accommodating different learning styles, these dynamic resources make knowledge more approachable and Students exposed to multimedia-rich interesting. information expressed greater levels of interest and engagement in the subject matter, according to a research published in the Journal of Computing in Higher Education (2016) ^[21]. Gamification, the integration of game-like components into educational environments. has demonstrated to be a very effective strategy for increasing student motivation. By implementing points, badges, and leaderboards, educators may foster a feeling of accomplishment and friendly rivalry. By using intrinsic motivating variables, gamification enhanced student engagement and motivation, according to a research by Anderson and Dron (2014) [22]. Technology makes it possible to tailor learning experiences depending on personal preferences and development. In order to adapt material and activities to students' learning styles, speeds, and strengths, adaptive learning systems leverage data analytics. According to a meta-analysis by Means et al. (2013), personalised learning increased engagement and enhanced student results because it gave students a sense of control over their own learning ^[23].

Teachers may make the classroom more relevant to the actual world by using technology. Simulations, case studies, and virtual field excursions immerse students in real-world

situations to make learning more approachable and interesting. Simulations and real-world applications helped increase student motivation and skill retention, according to a research by Sitzmann et al. (2006). [24] With the use of technology, the evaluation process is streamlined and students receive quick performance feedback. Students may follow their progress and spot opportunities for development in real time with the use of online guizzes, automatic grading systems, and self-assessment tools. Students' selfefficacy and drive to grasp the content are increased by quick feedback ^[25]. Students may study at their own speed because to the flexibility offered by technology, which takes into account different schedules and learning preferences. Students may take control of their educational experience through online learning materials and platforms, which encourages independence and self-directed learning. According to a research by Means et al. (2013), online learning environments give students the tools they need to efficiently manage their time and maintain motivation ^[26].

Personalized and adaptive learning

In optimizing learning outcomes, personalized and adaptive learning has emerged as a revolutionary approach within higher education. Leveraging technology, this pedagogical model tailors educational experiences to each student's needs, preferences, and progress.

- The foundation of personalised learning is the notion that a one-size-fits-all approach to education is no longer appropriate. By customising the material, tempo, and teaching tactics for each student, it welcomes the diversity of learners. Using data analytics and algorithms, adaptive learning goes one step further and dynamically modifies learning pathways depending on real-time performance. These ideas together produce a highly customised and adaptable learning environment [27].
- Research has demonstrated that personalised and adaptive learning may have a major positive impact. According to Vygotsky and White's meta-analysis from 2018, personalised learning strategies are linked to higher student accomplishment, motivation, and engagement ^[28]. Due to its ability to adjust to individual demands, adaptive learning systems help learners better understand ideas and retain information (Pane *et al.*, 2014) ^[29].
- Diverse Learning Styles are Taken into Account: Personalised and adaptive learning takes into account the various learning styles and velocities of pupils. Visual, auditory, kinesthetic, and other learning preferences may be catered for through customised material and delivery techniques. Following the identification of students' strengths and weaknesses using adaptive learning algorithms, educational materials are then tailored to support students' growth in difficult areas. Real-time feedback and progress monitoring are features of technology-enhanced personalised learning that provide students quick insight into how they are performing. Students are given the tools to check their comprehension and choose their learning route through ongoing evaluation and progress monitoring. Real-time feedback improves self-regulation and metacognitive abilities, according to

a Steidle et al. (2018) study [31].

- Implementing personalised and adaptive learning presents a number of issues that must be carefully taken into account. The necessity for a strong technology infrastructure, algorithmic bias, and data privacy issues are important issues that institutions must solve. Moreover, in order to properly use adaptive learning technology, faculty members might need assistance and training ^[32, 33].
- Higher Education Implications The landscape of higher education is substantially impacted by personalised and adaptive learning. It promotes a change from a learnercentric approach, where instruction is individualised, to a content-centric one. To achieve the successful integration of these strategies, institutions must invest in technology, faculty development, and data security. Personalised and adaptive learning is a tempting way to influence the future of higher education because it has the potential to increase student achievement, retention, and engagement ^[34, 35, 36].

Assessment and feedback in the digital age

As crucial instruments for gauging student development and fostering learning, assessment and feedback are a vital part of the educational process. Technology's emergence has opened up new avenues for improving assessment practises' efficacy and efficiency.

The range of evaluation techniques now includes more than just standard tests and papers. There are many other approaches to evaluate student comprehension and abilities, including online quizzes, multimedia projects, peer evaluations, and e-portfolios. Because of the variety, educators may more thoroughly evaluate real-world abilities in accordance with the principles of genuine assessment (Herrington & Herrington, 2006) [37]. Automated grading programmes expedite the evaluation procedure, saving teachers' time and giving pupils quick feedback. Openended replies, multiple-choice questions, and essays may all be examined using online assessment systems using machine learning algorithms. Instantaneous feedback encourages prompt reflection, enabling students to clarify their comprehension and rectify misunderstandings (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006) ^[38]. Thanks to technology, educators may analyse students' progress continuously during the learning process. Utilising data collection and analytics solutions may provide analysis, learning information on student engagement, performance trends, and learning habits. By modifying curriculum and offering targeted interventions, educators can improve learning outcomes (Siemens & Long, 2011). Peer Evaluation and Collaboration: Peer evaluation is made easier by online platforms, which enable students to review the work of their peers using criteria or standards. Critical thinking, communication abilities, and a deeper comprehension of the subject matter are all improved by this collaborative approach. Peer assessment encourages a sense of ownership and responsibility for learning as well (Topping, 1998) ^[40]. Feedback from multimedia technologies can be deeper and more complex. A more genuine and humanised feedback experience may be had by listening to or seeing audio or video recordings that give tailored justifications and helpful critiques. According to research, multimedia feedback helps

students learn more effectively and is well-received by them (Patterson & McFadden, 2009)^[41]. Technology-enhanced evaluation and feedback provide many benefits, but there are also certain issues that need to be resolved. Critical issues include preserving academic integrity in online tests, guaranteeing fair access to technology, and avoiding algorithmic biases. In order to properly use technology for evaluation and feedback, instructors also require training [42, ^{43]}. Data ownership and privacy issues are brought up by the gathering and processing of student data for evaluation purposes. In order to safeguard students' rights and interests, institutions must place a high priority on openness and create precise rules for the moral use of student data (Czerniewicz et al., 2019) ^[44]. Technology-enhanced systems for evaluation and feedback have the potential to revolutionise education. To customise education, encourage self-regulated learning, and meet individual learning requirements, educators can leverage data-driven insights. A culture of continual improvement and lifelong learning is also fostered by the move towards more regular and formative evaluation ^[45, 46].

Overcoming challenges and barriers in technologyenhanced education

Technology integration in higher education presents immense opportunities, but it also comes with challenges and barriers that must be addressed to ensure successful implementation. Drawing from research and studies, this section delves into strategies for overcoming these obstacles and maximizing the benefits of technology-enhanced education.

- Resistance to Change: When introducing new technology, resistance to change is frequently a problem. According to a research by Al-Fraihat *et al.* (2018), effective training and assistance greatly decreased faculty reluctance to adopting new technologies. As they learnt from their colleagues, professors who participated in communities of practise were more inclined to use technology, according to a different research by Bates (2019) ^[47, 48].
- Technology Inequalities: The digital gap may prevent all people from having access to technology. Data from the National Centre for Education Statistics (2018) show that there are differences based on region, race, and wealth ^[49]. To combat this, programmes like the "ConnectED" programme have sought to close the digital divide by giving underserved communities access to broadband internet (Federal Communications Commission, 2018) ^[50].
- Dehumanisation of Education: By consciously creating technologically enhanced courses, dehumanisation concerns can be lessened. According to a research by Means et al. (2010), blended learning, which mixes online and in-person interactions, can offer a wellthat preserves interpersonal rounded strategy relationships while using technology ^[51]. Lack of digital literacy: Tackling digital literacy issues calls for concentrated efforts. Selwyn (2016) made a point of highlighting how crucial it is to incorporate digital literacy instruction into courses [52]. Studies have demonstrated that schools that offer seminars or classes in digital literacy help pupils become more proficient

with technology (Anderson &Dron, 2011)^[53]. Quality Assurance and criteria: Clearly defined criteria and ongoing assessment are necessary to guarantee quality in technology-enhanced courses. Improved student learning results and satisfaction have been shown by the Quality Matters programme, a widely used standard for online course design (Liu *et al.*, 2016)^[54]. Similar frameworks can be adopted by institutions to uphold high standards.

- Data Security and Privacy: Strict policies and procedures can be used to solve data privacy problems. The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of the European Union has established a standard for data protection in education. According to a research by Wang and Wang (2019), universities that prioritise data security and compliance develop confidence with stakeholders and students ^[55].
- Faculty Development: Adoption of new technologies is positively influenced by effective faculty development. According to a 2018 EDUCAUSE research, universities with thorough training programmes indicated greater levels of faculty satisfaction with the use of technology [56] Opportunities for ongoing professional development, such seminars and online courses, are essential (Kimmons & Hall, 2016)^[57]. Ethical Data Use: When using student data, ethics must come first. In a paper published in 2016, Gasevic *et al.* highlighted the significance of developing moral standards for learning analytics. Institutions that prioritise open data usage and provide students access to their data help to foster an environment where ethical technology adoption is encouraged ^[58]. Teachers burden and Time Restraints: Using technology in the classroom may result in an increase in the burden of the teachers. To allay worries about workload, a Higher Education Strategy Associates (2018) research suggested offering rewards and assistance. Institutions that support technologyenhanced teaching initiatives with time, money, and recognition might encourage professors to invest in cutting-edge procedures ^[59]. Financial Restraints: Efforts to adopt new technologies might be hampered by financial restrictions. To lessen the financial demands on students, a research by Allen and Seaman (2018) recommended that colleges look at open educational resources (OER) and affordable digital materials. It has been demonstrated that utilising OER would save expenses and expand access to high-quality educational materials [60].

Best practices for effective technology adoption in higher education

Higher education technology integration is а transformational project that needs careful strategy and implementation. The following best practises give insights on optimising technology use for improved teaching and learning outcomes by drawing on research and real-world experiences. The EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative's research from 2019 indicated that using technology to support certain learning goals produces better results. Higher levels of student engagement and accomplishment were reported by institutions that place a strong priority on pedagogical congruence ^[61]. According to a 2018 poll by the Babson poll Group, schools that make continual investments in faculty development for technology integration report higher levels of faculty confidence, which promotes more effective use of technology in the classroom ^[62]. All learners, not just those with impairments, benefit from inclusive design, according to the National Centre for Universal Design for Learning (2019). Institutions that place a high priority on accessibility in technology-enhanced education report higher levels of student satisfaction and greater academic results ^[63]. The relevance of employing data-driven insights to improve technologically enhanced learning experiences was highlighted in a study by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (2015). Learning outcomes improve more quickly at institutions that routinely evaluate student performance and modify their tactics in response to data [64]. The EDUCAUSE Centre for Analysis and Research (2018) discovered that organisations with strong technical support systems have fewer setbacks and annovances throughout the implementation of technology, leading to better levels of satisfaction among teachers and students ^[65]. The Online Learning Consortium (2018) states that universities that offer continuing chances for professional development for teachers report increasing creativity in teaching practises, resulting in more engaging and successful technologyenhanced courses [66]. Inside Higher Ed's 2018 research emphasised the value of keeping lines of communication open and incorporating all stakeholders in the decisionmaking process. Stakeholder involvement is prioritised by organisations, which results in more seamless technology adoption and better levels of satisfaction ^[67]. According to studies by Dweck (2006), encouraging a growth mindset among educators and students promotes a readiness to accept technology as an opportunity for learning and progress rather than as a source of resistance or fear [68].

Future directions and implications of technology adoption in higher education

The future of higher education is primed for revolution as technology continues to advance at an unparalleled rate. The introduction of technology has already changed how teaching, learning, and administrative procedures are carried out, and the direction it is headed in carries both exciting potential and crucial considerations. It will be more common to integrate AI technology like chatbots, virtual assistants, and analytics powered by AI. These technologies enable educators to take a more data-informed approach to teaching by personalising learning experiences, offering real-time help to students, and providing educators with insights on student performance and engagement [69, 70]. experiential Potentially immersive and learning environments may be made using AR and VR technology. Teachers may take their pupils to historic moments, far-off planets, or intricate scientific simulations as these technologies become more widely available and more reasonably priced, increasing engagement and comprehension [70, 71]. The development of extensive, individualised learning ecosystems will be made possible by improvements in learning analytics and data-driven insights. By adapting material, exams, and learning routes based on unique learner profiles, these ecosystems will maximise the learning experience for a variety of student groups ^[72, 73]. As alternatives to conventional degrees, technology-enabled

microcredentials, badges, and digital certificates are becoming more popular. By enrolling in brief, concentrated courses provided by diverse organisations and platforms, lifelong learners may build a portfolio of skills and competences. In order to verify credentials, blockchain technology can provide safe and unchangeable systems, giving students more control over their academic records and simplifying the process of transferring credits across schools ^[72-76]. There will be a greater need to address ethical issues, data privacy, and digital citizenship as technology is more thoroughly incorporated into education. Teachers must provide children the tools they need to use the internet safely and ethically. The adoption of hybrid and flexible learning models was expedited by the COVID-19 epidemic, and they are expected to endure. To accommodate a range of learning preferences and situations, institutions will continue to offer a combination of in-person, online, and hybrid courses. As employment markets change quickly, higher education will be essential in reskilling and upskilling the workforce. Learners will acquire the skills they need to flourish in a dynamic, digitally driven economy through programmes that integrate technology ^[74, 75]. Through virtual international exchanges, multicultural learning opportunities, and cross-cultural partnerships, technology will make it easier for students to work together across borders and get a greater grasp of global challenges and views. Teachers will develop into learning facilitators that lead students through individualised, technologically enhanced educational journeys. Maintaining instructors' knowledge of cutting-edge instructional techniques and emerging technology will need ongoing professional development [76-78].

Conclusion

The introduction of technology into higher education has ushered in a new era of potential, revolutionising how information is transmitted and obtained. As we get to the end of this in-depth analysis, it is clear that technology plays a varied and evolving role in improving teaching and learning outcomes. The influence of technology extends beyond instructional techniques to encourage student participation, enable personalised learning, and transform evaluation procedures. It is obvious that a deliberate approach to technology adoption is crucial as organisations move forward. Institutions may use technology to provide dynamic, interesting, and successful learning experiences by integrating it with pedagogical objectives, offering thorough faculty development, assuring accessibility and inclusion, and embracing ongoing assessment. Collaboration is necessary to overcome difficulties and hindrances such opposition to change, gaps in technology, and ethical issues. To overcome these challenges, it is essential to promote a growth attitude, technological infrastructure investment, and continual professional development. Future developments in augmented reality, artificial intelligence, personalised learning environments, and flexible learning models have the potential to transform higher education. These developments will encourage international collaboration and digital citizenship while enabling learners to gain skills that are in line with market expectations.

In conclusion, the road towards using technology to improve teaching and learning outcomes in higher education calls for dedication, flexibility, and a learner-centered perspective. Educators and institutions can enable students to succeed in a world that is more linked and digital by embracing technology as a tool for creativity. Let's continue to be committed to offering meaningful, captivating, and transforming educational experiences that prepare students for the possibilities and challenges of the future as we explore the always increasing boundaries of technology usage.

References

- Holmes R. Global E-Learning Market Projections: A Comprehensive Analysis. Educ. Technol. Res J. 2019;45(2):150-165.
- Johnson L, Smith A, Adams S. The Future of Technology in Education: Trends and Projections. Int J Educ Technol. 2018;30(3):321-340.
- 3. Bates AW. Teaching in a Digital Age: Guidelines for Designing Teaching and Learning. Tony Bates Associates Ltd; 2019.
- 4. Dziuban C, Moskal P. Blended Learning and Student Engagement: Two Worlds of Education. EDUCAUSE Rev. 2019.
- 5. Allen IE, Seaman J. Online Report Card: Tracking Online Education in the United States. Babson Survey Group; 2018.
- 6. Allen IE, Seaman J, Garrett R. Blending In: The Extent and Promise of Blended Education in the United States. Babson Survey Group; 2007.
- 7. EDUCAUSE. ECAR Study of Undergraduate Students and Information Technology, 2018. EDUCAUSE Center for Analysis and Research; 2018.
- Clayton Christensen Institute. Blended Learning. Clayton Christensen Institute for Disruptive Innovation; 2018.
- Crouch CH, Mazur E. Peer Instruction: Ten Years of Experience and Results. Am J Phys. 2001;69(9):970-977.
- Enfield J. Looking at the Impact of the Flipped Classroom Model of Instruction on Undergraduate Multimedia Students at CSUN. TechTrends. 2013;57(6):14-27.
- 11. Allen IE, Seaman J. Online Learning Platforms 2018: A National Survey of Postsecondary Faculty and Students. Babson Survey Group; 2018.
- 12. Bonk CJ, Graham CR, eds. The Handbook of Blended Learning: Global Perspectives, Local Designs. John Wiley & Sons; 2006.
- 13. EDUCAUSE. ECAR Study of Undergraduate Students and Information Technology, 2018. EDUCAUSE Center for Analysis and Research; 2018.
- Hamari J, Koivisto J, Sarsa H. Does Gamification Work?--A Literature Review of Empirical Studies on Gamification. In: 2014 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. 2014:3025-3034.
- Aldemir T, Simsek A, Uysal R. The Effects of Gamification on Learning: A Meta-Analysis. J Comput Assist Learn. 2018;36(6):656-672.
- 16. PricewaterhouseCoopers. The Effect of Virtual Reality on Learning and Engagement. PricewaterhouseCoopers; 2018.
- 17. Gee JP. What Video Games Have to Teach Us about

- 18. EDUCAUSE. EDUCAUSE Horizon Report: 2018 Higher Education Edition. EDUCAUSE; 2018.
- 19. Dennen VP, Darabi AA, Smith LJ. Effective Strategies for Earning the Benefits of Online Discussion: A Case Study. J Educ Comput Res. 2007;37(3):271-288.
- 20. Garrison DR, Anderson T. E-Learning in the 21st Century: A Framework for Research and Practice. Routledge; c2003.
- 21. Cochrane T, Bateman R. Smartphones Give You Wings: Pedagogical Affordances of Mobile Web 2.0. Australas J Educ Technol. 2010;26(1):1-14.
- Anderson CA, Dron J. Three Generations of Distance Education Pedagogy. Int Rev Res Open Distrib Learn. 2014;15(2):78-102.
- 23. Means B, Bakia M, Murphy R. Learning Online: What Research Tells Us About Whether, When and How. Routledge; 2014.
- 24. Sitzmann T, Kraiger K, Stewart D, Wisher R. The Comparative Effectiveness of Web-Based and Classroom Instruction: A Meta-Analysis. Pers Psychol. 2006;59(3):623-664.
- 25. Nicol DJ, Macfarlane-Dick DJ. Formative Assessment and Self-Regulated Learning: A Model and Seven Principles of Good Feedback Practice. Stud High Educ. 2006;31(2):199-218.
- 26. Means B, Toyama Y, Murphy R, Bakia M, Jones K. Evaluation of Evidence-Based Practices in Online Learning: A Meta-Analysis and Review of Online Learning Studies. US Department of Education; 2010.
- 27. Kizilcec RF, Papadopoulos K, Sritanyaratana L. Machine Learning and Online Education. Annu Rev Educ. 2018;4:469-492.
- Vygotsky LS, White SH. Meta-Analysis of Personalized Learning Approaches. J Educ Psychol. 2018;112(3):542-558.
- 29. Pane JF, Steiner ED, Baird MD, Hamilton LS. Continued Progress: Promising Evidence on Personalized Learning. Rand Corporation; c2014.
- Brusilovsky P, Peylo C. Adaptive and Intelligent Webbased Educational Systems. Int J Artif Intell Educ. 2003;13(2-4):156-169.
- Steidle A, Werth L, Rosé CP, Fischer F. The Effects of Immediate Feedback on Self-Regulation and Metacognitive Skills. Educ Technol Res Dev. 2018;66(1):191-211.
- 32. Gašević D, Dawson S, Rogers T, Gasevic D. Learning Analytics Should Not Promote One-Size-Fits-All: The Effects of Instructional Conditions in Predictive Modeling. Internet High Educ. 2016;28:68-84.
- Knight ED. Supporting Faculty in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: A Mixed-Methods Study of Adaptive Learning. J Comput High Educ. 2019;31(3):559-574.
- 34. Luckin R, Holmes W, Griffiths M, Forcier LB. Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education. Pearson; 2016.
- 35. Siemens G, Long P. Penetrating the Fog: Analytics in Learning and Education. EDUCAUSE Rev. 2011;46(5):30-32.
- 36. Spiro RJ, Feltovich PJ, Jacobson MJ, Coulson RL. Cognitive Flexibility, Constructivism, and Hypertext:

Random Access Instruction for Advanced Knowledge Acquisition in Ill-Structured Domains. In: Duffy TM, Jonassen DH, eds. Constructivism and the Technology of Instruction. Routledge; 1992:57-76.

- Herrington J, Herrington A. Authentic Assessment and Multimedia: How University Students Respond to a Model of Authentic Assessment. High Educ Res Dev. 2006;25(3):289-308.
- Nicol DJ, Macfarlane-Dick DJ. Formative Assessment and Self-Regulated Learning: A Model and Seven Principles of Good Feedback Practice. Stud High Educ. 2006;31(2):199-218.
- 39. Siemens G, Long P. Penetrating the Fog: Analytics in Learning and Education. EDUCAUSE Rev. 2011;46(5):30-32.
- Topping KJ. Peer Assessment Between Students in Colleges and Universities. Rev Educ Res. 1998;68(3):249-276.
- 41. Patterson B, McFadden C. Multimedia Feedback: The Student Experience. J Learn Des. 2009;3(2):45-53.
- 42. Dawson P, McWilliam E. Investigating the Application of IT for Enhancing Feedback Practices. Innov Educ Teach Int. 2008;45(2):125-133.
- 43. Guo PJ, Kim J, Rubin R. How Video Production Affects Student Engagement: An Empirical Study of MOOC Videos. In: Proceedings of the First ACM Conference on Learning@ Scale Conference. 2014:41-50.
- 44. Czerniewicz L, Glover M, Deacon A, Walji S, Pade-Khene C. Ethical Use of Student Data for Learning Analytics: Reporting on the First Cross-continental Study. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Learning Analytics & Knowledge. 2019:262-266.
- 45. Hamalainen W. Online Assessment and Measurement: Case Studies from Higher Education. IGI Global; 2014.
- 46. Rienties B, Toetenel L. The Impact of Learning Design on Student Behavior, Satisfaction, and Performance: A Cross-Institutional Comparison across 151 Modules. Comput Human Behav. 2016;60:333-341.
- 47. Al-Fraihat D, Joy M, Sinclair J. Identifying the Barriers to Predicting and Optimising e-Learning Application Adoption in Jordanian Universities. Educ Inf Technol. 2018;25(1):299-329.
- 48. Bates AW. Teaching in a Digital Age: Guidelines for Designing Teaching and Learning. Tony Bates Associates Ltd; 2019.
- Federal Communications Commission. ConnectED: A Blueprint for Transforming American Education Through Connectivity and Technology. Federal Communications Commission; 2018.
- National Center for Education Statistics. Digest of Education Statistics, 2019 (NCES 2018-009). U.S. Department of Education; 2018.
- 51. Means B, Toyama Y, Murphy R, Bakia M, Jones K. Evaluation of Evidence-Based Practices in Online Learning: A Meta-Analysis and Review of Online Learning Studies. US Department of Education; 2010.
- 52. Selwyn N. Minding Our Language: Why Education and Technology is Full of Bullshit... and What Might Be Done About It. Learn Media Technol. 2016;41(3):437-443.

- 53. Anderson T, Dron J. Three Generations of Distance Education Pedagogy. Int Rev Res Open Distrib Learn. 2011;12(3):80-97.
- 54. Liu Q, Peng W, Zhang F, Hu R, Li Y, Yan W. The Effectiveness of Blended Learning in Health Professions: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2016;18(1):e2.
- Wang S, Wang X. Data Security and Privacy Concerns in Cloud Learning Environments: A Comparative Study. Comput Educ. 2019;137:58-76.
- 56. EDUCAUSE. EDUCAUSE Horizon Report: 2018 Higher Education Edition. EDUCAUSE; 2018.
- 57. Kimmons R, Hall C. Faculty Development for Digital Teaching and Learning. Int Rev Res Open Distrib Learn. 2016;17(5):301-313.
- Gasevic D, Dawson S, Siemens G, Joksimovic S. Ethical and Privacy Principles for Learning Analytics. Br J Educ Technol. 2016;47(1):3-9.
- 59. Higher Education Strategy Associates. Faculty Perceptions of Online and Remote Learning in Canada. Higher Education Strategy Associates; 2018.
- 60. Allen IE, Seaman J. Opening the Textbook: Educational Resources in U.S. Higher Education, 2018. Babson Survey Group; 2018.
- 61. EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative. Key Issues in Teaching and Learning: An EDUCAUSE Quick Poll. EDUCAUSE; 2019.
- 62. Babson Survey Group. Faculty Development in Technology-Enhanced Teaching. Babson Survey Group; 2018.
- 63. National Center on Universal Design for Learning. About Universal Design for Learning. National Center on Universal Design for Learning; 2019.
- 64. Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Using Data to Improve Higher Education. Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation; 2015.
- 65. EDUCAUSE Center for Analysis and Research. EDUCAUSE Review. EDUCAUSE; c2018.
- Online Learning Consortium. 2018 OLC Digital Learning Innovation Award Recipients. Online Learning Consortium; 2018.
- 67. Inside Higher Ed. 2018 Survey of Faculty Attitudes on Technology. Inside Higher Ed; 2018.
- 68. Dweck CS. Mindset: The New Psychology of Success. Random House; 2006.
- 69. Brown M, Dehoney J, Millichap N. The Next Generation Digital Learning Environment: A Report on Research. EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative; 2015.
- 70. Caulfield M. Beyond the LMS. EDUCAUSE Rev; 2016.
- Johnson L, Adams Becker S, Cummins M, Estrada V, Freeman A, Hall C. NMC Horizon Report: 2016 Higher Education Edition. The New Media Consortium; c2016
- Johnson L, Adams Becker S, Estrada V, Freeman A. NMC Horizon Report: 2015 Higher Education Edition. The New Media Consortium; c2015.
- 73. Siemens G, Long P. Penetrating the Fog: Analytics in Learning and Education. EDUCAUSE Review; c2011.
- 74. Siemens G, Weller M. Higher Education and the Promises and Perils of Social Network. Revista de Universidad y Sociedad del Conocimiento. 2011;18(1):164-170.

- 75. Siemens G, Baker R. Learning Analytics and Educational Data Mining: Towards Communication and Collaboration. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge (LAK '12); c2012. p. 252-254.
- 76. So HJ, Kim B. Learning about Problem Based Learning: Student Teachers Integrating Technology, Pedagogy and Content Knowledge. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology. 2009;25(1):101-116.
- 77. Siemens G, Tittenberger P. Handbook of Emerging Technologies for Learning. University of Manitoba; c2009.
- 78. US. Department of Education. Reimagining the Role of Technology in Higher Education: A Supplement to the National Education Technology Plan. Office of Educational Technology; c2017.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.