



New Education Policy 2020: Focus on Critical Thinking, Problem-Solving and Experiential Learning

Sooraj

Assistant Professor, Pakur B.Ed. College, Pakur, Sido-Kanhu Murmu University, Dumka, Jharkhand, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15798325>

Corresponding Author: Sooraj

Abstract

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 introduces a transformative vision aimed at reshaping the Indian education system to meet the evolving demands of the 21st century. At its core, NEP 2020 emphasizes the integration of critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and experiential learning into mainstream education. These elements are pivotal in fostering cognitive and practical competencies among learners, enabling them to navigate complex societal and global challenges. This research article delves into the philosophical and theoretical foundations of these components, evaluates their pedagogical importance, and explores the practical challenges and potential solutions for their implementation. By doing so, it underscores the role of NEP 2020 as a roadmap for educational innovation, inclusivity, and excellence.

Keywords: NEP 2020, Critical Thinking, Problem-Solving, Experiential Learning, Constructivism, Educational Reform, Pedagogical Innovation, Curriculum Transformation

1. Introduction

The landscape of education in India has been undergoing a critical shift, catalyzed by the National Education Policy 2020. Designed to overhaul the traditional framework characterized by rote learning and rigid curricula, NEP 2020 advocates for a learner-centric, inquiry-based, and competency-driven model. Central to this policy is the promotion of critical thinking, problem-solving, and experiential learning, which together aim to cultivate independent, creative, and socially responsible individuals. These core tenets align with global educational reforms and Sustainable Development Goal 4 (Quality Education), positioning India as a proactive participant in shaping the future of learning.

2. Theoretical and Philosophical Underpinnings

The vision of NEP 2020 is deeply rooted in time-tested educational theories that prioritize learner autonomy and cognitive development: Constructivist Learning Theory (Jean Piaget): Piaget (1970) [3] proposed that knowledge is actively constructed by learners through interaction with their environment. This principle supports the idea that students learn best through discovery and engagement. Pragmatism and Experiential Learning (John Dewey):

Dewey (1916) [2] emphasized the importance of education being grounded in real-life experiences. According to Dewey, education must be connected to society and contribute to social progress, thereby making experiential learning a key methodology. Sociocultural Theory (Lev Vygotsky): Vygotsky (1978) [4] underscored the significance of social interaction and scaffolding in cognitive development. His theory justifies collaborative learning and peer interaction as fundamental to developing problem-solving skills. Together, these frameworks offer a solid foundation [1] for understanding and implementing the NEP's objectives.

3. Critical Thinking in the NEP Framework

Critical thinking, as envisaged in NEP 2020, involves the ability to analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information rather than merely recalling facts. It entails fostering a questioning attitude and encouraging students to think logically and ethically.

¹Experiential learning, as conceptualized by David Kolb, is based on the principle that knowledge is created through the transformation of experience-highlighting the importance of reflective observation and active experimentation in education.

3.1 NEP 2020 states

"Education must develop not only cognitive capacities...but also social, ethical, and emotional capacities and dispositions." This indicates a holistic approach to education where cognitive skills are interwoven with affective and moral dimensions. Encouraging critical thinking helps students to become thoughtful citizens capable of contributing to democratic decision-making. Empirical studies (Kember, 2009; Gokhale, 1995) ^[7, 8] have shown that students who engage in reflective thinking are better at managing ambiguity and making informed judgments.

3.2 Pedagogical Strategies for Critical Thinking

Socratic questioning
 Reflective journaling
 Case study analysis
 Dialectical teaching models

4. Problem-Solving as a Core Skill

Problem-solving is the practical application of knowledge to address complex, real-world challenges. NEP 2020 envisions ^[2] this skill as essential not only in academic contexts but also in everyday life and future employment. Through interdisciplinary curricula and project-based assessments, the policy aims to integrate problem-solving across subjects. For instance, STEAM education, combined with the arts (STEAM), encourages creative resolution of practical issues.

4.1 Educational Outcomes of Problem-Solving

Improved logical reasoning
 Higher resilience and adaptability
 Enhanced collaboration

As Clark (2015) ^[10] noted, students exposed to structured problem-solving models demonstrate higher cognitive flexibility and long-term retention of knowledge.

4.2 Implementation Methods

Real-life simulation activities
 Collaborative group projects
 Inquiry-based science experiments

5. Experiential Learning: Bridging Theory and Practice

Experiential learning in NEP 2020 is designed to blur the boundaries between classrooms and communities. It emphasizes learning through action, reflection, and iteration.

5.1 Key features include

Internships and apprenticeships
 Field visits and community service
 Vocational and life-skills education

Dewey's philosophy supports ^[3] this by asserting that genuine understanding emerges from practical experience. Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle (1984)-which includes

concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation-is particularly relevant here.

5.2 Benefits of Experiential Learning

Enhanced student engagement and motivation
 Better knowledge retention
 Stronger linkage between curriculum and real-world application

6. Implementation Challenges and Mitigation Strategies

Despite its forward-thinking nature, NEP 2020's success hinges on overcoming several barriers:

- A. Teacher Preparedness: Most educators are unfamiliar with inquiry-based pedagogies. Continuous professional development (CPD) programs focusing on critical pedagogy, student engagement, and technology integration are crucial.
- B. Infrastructure Deficits: Especially in rural areas, there's a lack of basic facilities and technological support needed for experiential education.
- C. Curriculum Realignment: The shift from exam-centric to competency-based assessment requires a fundamental redesign of curricula and textbooks.

6.1 Strategic Interventions

Investment in teacher training institutes
 Public-private partnerships for infrastructure development
 Integration of EdTech platforms to facilitate innovative pedagogy

7. Conclusion

NEP 2020 provides a visionary framework for creating an inclusive, innovative, and inquiry-driven educational ecosystem. Its focus on critical thinking, problem-solving, and experiential learning is not only timely but essential for nurturing future-ready citizens. By aligning pedagogy with globally recognized educational theories and practices, India can transition from rote-based instruction to meaningful and transformative learning. While implementation challenges persist, a coordinated effort among educators, policymakers, and civil society can make NEP's vision a tangible reality. Furthermore, the policy envisions education as a holistic and multidisciplinary process, empowering learners with 21st-century skills, values, and dispositions necessary for success in a rapidly evolving world. However, realizing this transformative vision requires more than structural reforms; it calls for sustained efforts in teacher training, infrastructure development, curriculum innovation, and robust stakeholder engagement. Challenges in implementation, especially in rural and underserved areas, must be met with localized, flexible strategies and continuous support.

8. References

1. Ministry of Education, Government of India. National Education Policy 2020. New Delhi: Government of India; c2020.
2. Dewey J. Democracy and Education. New York: Macmillan; c1916.
3. Piaget J. The Science of Education and the Psychology of the Child. New York: Orion Press; c1970.
4. Vygotsky LS. Mind in Society. Cambridge, MA:

²Critical thinking is often linked to the work of scholars like Richard Paul and Linda Elder, who define it as the art of analyzing and evaluating thinking with a view to improving it (Paul & Elder, 2014).

³Bloom's Revised Taxonomy offers a hierarchical model of cognitive skills-remember, understand, apply, analyze, evaluate, and create-that directly supports the NEP's aims for higher-order thinking.

- Harvard University Press; c1978.
5. Kolb DA. *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall; c1984.
 6. Anderson LW, Krathwohl DR. *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. New York: Longman; c2001.
 7. Kember D. *Promoting Student-Centered Learning*. London: Routledge; c2009.
 8. Gokhale AA. Collaborative learning enhances critical thinking. *Journal of Technology Education*. 1995;7(1):22–30.
 9. Trilling B, Fadel C. *21st Century Skills: Learning for Life in Our Times*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; c2009.
 10. Clark DB. Problem-based learning environments. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*. 2015;24(2):155–178.
 11. Nunan D. *Perspectives on Critical Thinking in Education*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; c2013.
 12. Kumar A. Critical thinking in Indian education. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*. 2020;52(1):85–96.
 13. Black P, Wiliam D. *Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*. 1998;5(1):7–74.
 14. Resnick LB. *Education and Learning to Think*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; c1987.
 15. Seel NM. *Instructional Design: Theories, Models, and Research*. New York: Springer; c2012.
 16. Bhatt K. Teachers promoting problem-solving. *International Journal of Education*. 2019;11(2):33–47.
 17. Chaudhary R. Impact of NEP 2020 on higher education. *International Journal of Higher Education*. 2020;9(3):56–70.
 18. Roessingh H, Kover P. Experiential learning in language education. *TESOL Quarterly*. 2003;37(2):253–264.
 19. Bhaskar V. Pedagogy and NEP 2020. *Journal of Education and Development*. 2018;15(2):12–28.
 20. Miettinen R. Experiential learning and Dewey. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*. 2000;19(1):54–72.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.