



A Critical Evaluation of the Role of Gen-Z in Contemporary World Politics

Mukesh Kumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Mahatma Buddha Lok Kalyan Evam Gramya Vikas Samsthan, Nighasan Lakhimpur Kheri, Uttar Pradesh, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17513975>

Corresponding Author: Mukesh Kumar

Abstract

The twenty-first century has witnessed a remarkable transformation in the nature of political engagement, largely driven by the emergence of Generation Z (Gen-Z)-those born roughly between 1997 and 2012. This paper critically examines Gen-Z's role in shaping contemporary world politics, emphasizing their digital activism, social consciousness, and participation in global movements. Drawing on examples from various regions, the study explores how technology, globalization, and socio-political crises have molded Gen-Z's political identity. It also assesses the limitations of their political engagement, particularly in the context of institutional politics and ideological polarization. The paper concludes by arguing that while Gen-Z possesses unprecedented tools and awareness to reshape global politics, the effectiveness of their participation depends on translating online activism into sustainable political action and institutional reform.

Keywords: Gen-Z, Political System, Globalization, Institutional Reform

Introduction

The landscape of world politics has been significantly reshaped in the 21st century by the intersection of technology, globalization, and socio-economic change. Within this evolving context, Generation Z-the demographic cohort succeeding the Millennials-has emerged as a crucial political actor. Born into an era defined by the internet, smartphones, and social media, Gen-Z's political behavior reflects a complex blend of global awareness, digital literacy, and skepticism toward traditional power structures. Unlike earlier generations, Gen-Z has grown up amid multiple global crises-climate change, economic recessions, pandemics, rising populism, and wars-which have profoundly shaped their worldview. This generation demonstrates a strong inclination toward inclusivity, environmental sustainability, and human rights. Yet, their activism is often mediated through digital spaces, raising critical questions about the depth, sustainability, and institutional impact of their engagement.

This paper seeks to provide a critical evaluation of Gen-Z's role in contemporary world politics-examining their patterns of political participation, ideological orientations, and the challenges that define their engagement in both online and offline spheres.

Understanding Generation Z: Characteristics and Political Orientation

Generation Z is the first truly digital-native generation. Their political outlook has been profoundly influenced by the democratization of information and the collapse of traditional media hierarchies. Several characteristics define Gen-Z's political behavior:

1. **Digital Fluency:** Constant access to information through the internet enables them to form rapid political opinions and mobilize transnationally.
2. **Global Consciousness:** Issues such as climate change, racial justice, and gender equality resonate across borders.
3. **Individualism and Skepticism:** Gen-Z tends to distrust traditional institutions like political parties, governments, and mainstream media.
4. **Progressive Ideals:** They prioritize social justice, environmental protection, diversity, and equity.

A 2022 Pew Research Center report notes that Gen-Z individuals are more likely to support progressive policies and multicultural values than previous generations. However, their political engagement is not uniform across the globe; cultural, economic, and political contexts

significantly influence how Gen-Z interacts with political structures.

3. Gen-Z and Political Awareness in the Digital Age

Gen-Z's political consciousness is largely shaped by digital media ecosystems. Platforms like Twitter (X), Instagram, TikTok, and YouTube have become arenas for political education and mobilization. Through these spaces, young people engage with news, debates, and activism, often bypassing traditional media channels.

The accessibility of information allows Gen-Z to form opinions on global issues in real time. Movements such as #MeToo, #FridaysForFuture, #BlackLivesMatter, and #EndSARS illustrate how Gen-Z connects local struggles to global narratives. For instance, Greta Thunberg's climate strikes inspired millions of students worldwide to demand climate justice, marking one of the largest youth-led mobilizations in history.

However, the algorithmic nature of digital media also exposes Gen-Z to echo chambers and misinformation. The rapid spread of ideologically biased content can reinforce polarization, undermining critical political discourse.

4. Political Participation and Activism

4.1 Digital Activism

Gen-Z's political participation often manifests through digital activism—the use of online tools to advocate for change. Social media platforms have democratized political participation by lowering the barriers to entry. Hashtag campaigns, online petitions, and viral videos allow individuals to express dissent and solidarity across borders. For instance, during the George Floyd protests (2020), Gen-Z activists used social media to coordinate global demonstrations, raise funds, and pressure political institutions. Similarly, youth-led climate movements have pressured governments and corporations to adopt sustainable policies.

4.2 Electoral Participation

Despite their digital activism, Gen-Z's formal political participation remains inconsistent. In some democracies—such as the United States and parts of Europe—Gen-Z voters have demonstrated higher turnout rates than previous youth cohorts. Their voting behavior tends to favor progressive and green parties. However, in many developing countries, structural barriers, disillusionment with corruption, and lack of representation discourage their involvement in institutional politics.

4.3 Transnational Solidarity

A key feature of Gen-Z politics is transnationalism. Digital communication allows young people from different countries to collaborate on global issues, creating a form of digital cosmopolitanism. Movements like “Global Climate Strike” and “Digital Feminism” show how Gen-Z transcends national boundaries to advocate for universal values.

5. The Contradictions and Challenges of Gen-Z Politics

While Gen-Z represents a new wave of global political consciousness, their activism faces several critical limitations:

5.1 The Problem of “Slacktivism”

One of the most frequent criticisms of Gen-Z's political engagement is “slacktivism”—the act of showing support online without engaging in concrete political action. Clicking “like” or sharing posts often substitutes for sustained activism, reducing the transformative potential of their efforts.

5.2 Polarization and Echo Chambers

Social media algorithms prioritize engagement over accuracy, creating ideological bubbles. As a result, Gen-Z's political discourse often becomes polarized, leading to identity-driven rather than issue-driven debates.

5.3 Institutional Disengagement

Gen-Z's skepticism toward political institutions, while understandable, limits their ability to influence policymaking. Many prefer activism outside traditional frameworks, yet long-term change requires engagement within legislative and governance structures.

5.4 Economic and Psychological Pressures

Economic insecurity, job precarity, and mental health challenges also shape Gen-Z's political attitudes. The global economic slowdown and the COVID-19 pandemic have deepened their anxiety about the future, sometimes resulting in political apathy or nihilism.

6. Case Studies: Gen-Z's Global Political Impact

6.1 Climate Activism

The Fridays for Future movement demonstrates Gen-Z's ability to mobilize across borders. Millions of students in over 150 countries have participated in climate strikes demanding governmental accountability. This movement has influenced the policy agendas of the European Union, the United Nations, and several national governments.

6.2 Social Justice Movements

Gen-Z has been at the forefront of racial and gender justice campaigns. Movements like #BlackLivesMatter, #MeToo, and #EndSARS show their commitment to equity and human rights. Through online mobilization, they have amplified marginalized voices and challenged systemic oppression.

6.3 Political Resistance in Authoritarian Contexts

In authoritarian or semi-authoritarian regimes (e.g., Iran, Hong Kong, Myanmar), Gen-Z activists have used encrypted apps, memes, and digital art as tools of dissent. Their innovative use of technology illustrates how political resistance adapts under repressive conditions.

7. Gen-Z's Vision for the Future

Gen-Z envisions a world that prioritizes sustainability, inclusivity, and accountability. Their preference for ethical consumption, environmentalism, and gender equality suggests a redefinition of politics beyond state-centric paradigms. They value participatory democracy, transparency, and intersectionality, indicating a potential shift from traditional power politics to people-centric governance.

Furthermore, Gen-Z's approach to politics is post-

ideological in nature. Rather than aligning strictly with left or right ideologies, they tend to support pragmatic solutions that align with moral and ecological responsibility. This flexibility could make them key agents in revitalizing democratic systems.

8. Critical Appraisal

From a critical standpoint, Gen-Z's political engagement is both revolutionary and contradictory. On one hand, they have democratized political participation, making activism accessible and global. On the other, their heavy reliance on digital spaces risks reducing politics to performative expressions rather than substantive engagement.

Moreover, while Gen-Z's activism is inclusive, it sometimes lacks historical depth and organizational discipline. The absence of structured leadership within youth movements can hinder their long-term policy impact. Nonetheless, their passion for justice and innovation in communication mark them as potential catalysts for a more participatory and sustainable global order.

9. Conclusion

Generation Z stands at the forefront of a new era in world politics—an era characterized by digital interconnectedness, global awareness, and a redefinition of activism. Their ability to mobilize across borders and challenge entrenched power structures signifies a transformation in political culture. Yet, the challenge remains to convert digital enthusiasm into enduring institutional change.

A critical evaluation reveals that while Gen-Z possesses immense potential to reshape global politics, the depth of their influence will depend on their capacity to move beyond online activism, engage with political institutions, and craft coherent policy agendas. If successfully integrated into formal political structures, Gen-Z could usher in a more inclusive, accountable, and globally conscious political future.

References

1. Inglehart R, Welzel C. *Modernization, Cultural Change and Democracy: The Human Development Sequence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; c2005.
2. Dalton RJ. *The Good Citizen: How a Younger Generation is Reshaping American Politics*. Washington (DC): CQ Press; c2014.
3. Henn M, Foard N. Social differentiation in young people's political participation: The impact of social and educational factors on youth political engagement in Britain. *Journal of Youth Studies*. 2014;17(3):360–380.
4. Castells M. *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age*. Cambridge: Polity Press; c2012.
5. Bennett WL, Segerberg A. *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; c2013.
6. Loader BD, Vromen A, Xenos MA. *The Networked Young Citizen: Social Media, Political Participation and Civic Engagement*. New York: Routledge; c2014.
7. Tufekci Z. *Twitter and Tear Gas: The Power and Fragility of Networked Protest*. New Haven (CT): Yale University Press; c2017.
8. Pickard S. *Politics, Protest and Young People: Political Participation and Dissent in 21st Century Britain*. London: Palgrave Macmillan; c2019.
9. de Moor J. *Fridays for Future: How the Youth Climate Movement Reshapes the Political Landscape*. London: Routledge; c2022.
10. Bessant J, Farthing R, Watts R. *The Precarious Generation: A Political Economy of Young People*. London: Routledge; c2017.
11. Twenge JM. *iGen: Why Today's Super-Connected Kids Are Growing Up Less Rebellious, More Tolerant, Less Happy-and Completely Unprepared for Adulthood*. New York: Atria Books; c2017.
12. Tapscott D. *Grown Up Digital: How the Net Generation is Changing Your World*. New York: McGraw-Hill; c2009.
13. Jenkins H, Ito M, boyd d. *Participatory Culture in a Networked Era: A Conversation on Youth, Learning, Commerce, and Politics*. Cambridge: Polity Press; c2016.
14. Pew Research Center. *On the Cusp of Adulthood and Facing an Uncertain Future: What We Know About Gen Z So Far*. Washington (DC): Pew Research Center; c2022.
15. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). *Youth in the Digital Age: Political Participation and Global Citizenship*. New York: UNDP; c2023.
16. World Economic Forum. *Global Shapers Survey 2022*. Geneva: World Economic Forum; c2022.
17. Sloam J, Henn M. *Youthquake 2017: The Rise of Young Cosmopolitans in Britain*. London: Palgrave Macmillan; c2019. (Palgrave Studies in Young People and Politics).
18. Bosco A, Verney S. Digital natives and political nativism: The paradox of Gen-Z politics. *European Political Science Review*. 2021;13(2):184–201.
19. Velasquez A, LaRose R. Youth online political participation: The role of social media use, online news exposure, and civic engagement. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*. 2015;18(2):79–85.
20. Kim Y, Russo S, Amnã E. The role of digital media in youth civic engagement. *New Media & Society*. 2017;19(12):2028–2048.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.