



Green Chemistry and Sustainable Development: A Human Rights Perspective

Dr. Rajendra Prasad Gupta

Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry, Hotilal Ramnath College, Amnour Under Jai Prakash University, Chapra, Saran, Bihar, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21057278>

Corresponding Author: Dr. Rajendra Prasad Gupta

Abstract

The interrelationship between green chemistry and sustainable development extends far beyond conventional environmental protection. It is deeply embedded in the broader framework of universal human rights - encompassing the rights to health, life, dignity, and a clean environment. This paper examines how the principles of green chemistry serve as practical instruments for safeguarding these rights. Through an interdisciplinary analysis that bridges chemistry, environmental law, and human rights theory, the paper argues that chemical innovation guided by sustainability is not merely a scientific ambition but a moral and legal imperative. Drawing upon the twelve principles of green chemistry, the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and international human rights instruments, this study demonstrates that the design, production, and disposal of chemicals must be governed by a rights-based framework. The paper concludes that green chemistry is a cornerstone in constructing a future where industrial progress and human dignity coexist.

Keywords: Green Chemistry, Sustainable Development, Human Rights, SDGs, Environmental Justice, Intergenerational Equity, Chemical Safety, Waste Prevention

1. Introduction

Chemistry, as a discipline, has long been associated with industrial progress, economic development, and scientific discovery. Yet, the conventional trajectory of chemical production has also been responsible for some of the most severe environmental and public health crises in modern history - from the Bhopal gas tragedy in 1984 to widespread pesticide contamination in agricultural communities across the developing world. These events have catalyzed a paradigm shift within the scientific community, giving rise to a transformative framework known as green chemistry.

Green chemistry, formally introduced by Paul Anastas and John Warner in 1998 through their seminal work outlining the twelve principles, is defined as the design of chemical products and processes that reduce or eliminate the use and generation of hazardous substances. Unlike end-of-pipe solutions that address pollution after it has already occurred, green chemistry seeks to prevent harm at the molecular level - at the very point of design. This proactive stance aligns naturally with the philosophy of sustainable development, which demands that the needs of the present be met without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

However, the significance of green chemistry is not confined to environmental stewardship or economic efficiency. Its implications are profoundly human. Access to a clean environment, the right to health, freedom from toxic exposure, and the guarantee of a livable planet for future generations are not merely aspirational goals - they are recognized human rights under international law. This paper adopts a human rights perspective to examine how green chemistry contributes to, and must be guided by, these fundamental rights. By situating green chemistry within the broader discourse of international human rights and the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, this paper seeks to articulate a compelling moral, legal, and scientific case for rights-based chemical governance.

2. The Right to a Clean and Healthy Environment

In July 2022, the United Nations General Assembly adopted Resolution 76/300, formally recognizing the right to a clean, healthy, and sustainable environment as a universal human right. This landmark resolution affirmed what environmental advocates and legal scholars had long argued: that the degradation of the natural world is inseparable from the violation of human rights.

Contaminated air, polluted water bodies, and chemically degraded soils are not simply ecological concerns - they are direct threats to the dignity and welfare of human beings.

Green chemistry addresses this right at its foundational level. The first of its twelve principles - Waste Prevention - establishes that it is better to prevent waste than to treat or clean it up after it has been created. This principle inherently recognizes the responsibility of the chemical industry to avoid generating pollution in the first place, rather than relying on downstream remediation. By designing chemicals and processes that produce minimal or zero hazardous by-products, green chemistry directly protects the integrity of the natural environment.

Furthermore, Principle 4 (Designing Safer Chemicals) and Principle 5 (Safer Solvents and Auxiliaries) emphasize the use of substances with little or no toxicity, thereby reducing the risk of soil and water contamination. These principles find their human rights justification in Article 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), which obligates states to take steps toward the improvement of all aspects of environmental and industrial hygiene. The substitution of persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals, and carcinogenic solvents with benign alternatives is, therefore, not merely a technical improvement - it is a fulfillment of state obligations under international human rights law.

Communities that reside near chemical manufacturing facilities, agricultural zones, or waste disposal sites bear a disproportionate burden of toxic exposure. These communities - often comprising economically marginalized populations, indigenous peoples, and communities of color - are the most vulnerable to violations of their environmental rights. Green chemistry, by reducing the generation of toxic substances across the entire lifecycle of chemical production, functions as a mechanism for environmental justice. It ensures that the chemical industry does not externalize its costs onto the most vulnerable members of society.

3. Right to Life and Health

Article 6 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) guarantees every human being the inherent right to life. This right, interpreted expansively by the United Nations Human Rights Committee, encompasses more than the mere absence of arbitrary killing. It includes the obligation of states to take positive measures to protect life from foreseeable threats, including those arising from environmental contamination and toxic chemical exposure. The intersection of chemical safety and the right to life is therefore not merely theoretical - it is a lived reality for millions of people worldwide.

Hazardous chemicals are implicated in a broad spectrum of diseases. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), chemical pollution is responsible for approximately 1.6 million deaths per year globally, with developing nations bearing the greatest burden. Occupational exposure to benzene, asbestos, formaldehyde, and other industrial chemicals is a leading cause of cancer. Pesticide exposure is linked to Parkinson's disease, reproductive disorders, and neurological impairment. Industrial solvents and heavy metals are known to cause severe respiratory, hepatic, and

renal dysfunction. Green chemistry offers a scientifically rigorous response to this crisis.

By mandating the use of less hazardous chemical syntheses (Principle 3) and promoting the design of chemicals with reduced toxicity (Principle 4), green chemistry directly mitigates the health risks associated with chemical production and use. In the pharmaceutical sector, the application of green chemistry has resulted in the development of safer synthesis routes for essential medicines, reducing the generation of toxic intermediates and improving occupational safety for laboratory and manufacturing workers.

The principle of Inherently Safer Chemistry for Accident Prevention (Principle 12) is particularly germane to the right to life. Industrial accidents involving toxic chemical releases - such as the methyl isocyanate leak at Bhopal or the dioxin contamination at Seveso - have caused mass casualties and generational health consequences. Green chemistry seeks to eliminate such risks by designing processes that do not require the production or handling of substances with high potential for catastrophic release. This proactive safety orientation resonates directly with the state's obligation to protect the right to life under international human rights law. Moreover, the right to health under Article 12 of the ICESCR includes the right to enjoy the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. Reducing toxic chemical exposure is a prerequisite for achieving this standard. Green chemistry, by redesigning the chemical economy to minimize hazard at the source, makes a substantive contribution to the realization of this right - not merely for those directly employed in the chemical industry, but for the broader communities and ecosystems affected by chemical production.

4. Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs)

The United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, adopted in September 2015, represents the most ambitious global framework for achieving a just, equitable, and sustainable world. Its seventeen Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and 169 associated targets are grounded in the recognition that poverty eradication, health equity, environmental protection, and economic development are interdependent and mutually reinforcing objectives. Green chemistry is uniquely positioned as a cross-cutting enabler of multiple SDGs, particularly SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-Being), SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation), and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production).

4.1 SDG 3: Good Health and Well-Being

SDG 3 aims to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages. Target 3.9 specifically calls for the substantial reduction, by 2030, of the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water, and soil pollution and contamination. Green chemistry directly supports this target by reducing the production and release of hazardous substances throughout the chemical lifecycle. The development of biodegradable solvents, non-toxic catalysts, and safer synthesis pathways contributes measurably to achieving Target 3.9 and the broader health objectives of SDG 3.

4.2 SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation

SDG 6 seeks to ensure the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. Chemical pollution of freshwater systems - through industrial effluents, agricultural run-off, and improper disposal of chemical waste - is one of the most significant threats to achieving this goal. Green chemistry principles such as real-time analysis for pollution prevention (Principle 11) and the use of catalytic rather than stoichiometric reagents (Principle 9) are directly relevant to reducing chemical contamination of water systems. By designing processes that generate fewer aquatic pollutants, green chemistry supports the protection of freshwater resources and the realization of the human right to water recognized under UN General Assembly Resolution 64/292.

4.3 SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production

SDG 12 calls for the sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources, as well as the environmentally sound management of chemicals and wastes throughout their life cycle. Target 12.4 specifically requires the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle in accordance with agreed international frameworks. The twelve principles of green chemistry provide a comprehensive operational framework for achieving this target. By promoting atom economy (Principle 2), the use of renewable feedstocks (Principle 7), and the minimization of chemical derivatives (Principle 8), green chemistry enables a transition toward a circular chemical economy that is both environmentally responsible and rights-respecting.

Beyond these three focal SDGs, green chemistry also contributes to SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) through the development of safer agrochemicals and fertilizers; to SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy) through the development of greener energy conversion and storage technologies; and to SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure) through the promotion of cleaner industrial processes. The alignment of green chemistry with the SDG framework underscores its status as a systemic solution rather than a niche scientific approach.

5. Rights of Future Generations and Intergenerational Equity

One of the most philosophically profound dimensions of sustainable development is the principle of intergenerational equity - the idea that the current generation holds the natural environment in trust for future generations and is morally obligated to pass it on in a condition no worse than that in which it was received. This principle, articulated in the Brundtland Commission's 1987 report *Our Common Future*, has since been recognized in numerous international instruments, including the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (Principle 3) and the Paris Agreement on Climate Change.

From a human rights perspective, intergenerational equity implies that the rights of those not yet born - to a clean environment, to health, to life - are entitled to moral and legal consideration. The chemical pollution that results from irresponsible production practices does not respect temporal boundaries. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), heavy metal contamination, and radioactive waste can remain

hazardous for decades, centuries, or even millennia. Diseases caused by chemical exposure can manifest generations after the initial contamination, as documented in cases of in utero exposure to thalidomide, methylmercury, and dioxins.

Green chemistry provides a rights-based response to this intergenerational challenge. By designing chemicals to be degradable after use (Principle 10) and by promoting the use of renewable, rather than depletable, raw materials (Principle 7), green chemistry seeks to prevent the accumulation of persistent hazardous substances in the environment. This forward-looking orientation is not merely environmentally prudent - it is a moral imperative rooted in the recognition that future generations are bearers of rights that we are obligated to respect.

The concept of inherent safety (Principle 12) is also significant in this context. By eliminating the production of substances that pose long-term risks of environmental persistence, bioaccumulation, or delayed toxicity, green chemistry acts as a safeguard for the health and environmental rights of future generations. In this sense, the principles of green chemistry are not merely technical guidelines - they are expressions of intergenerational justice operationalized through scientific practice.

International law has begun to recognize these intergenerational obligations more explicitly. The UN Declaration on the Rights of Future Generations, still in development as of 2026, seeks to codify states' responsibilities toward those not yet born. Green chemistry, as a scientific framework that inherently prioritizes long-term safety over short-term convenience, aligns closely with the emerging legal architecture of intergenerational rights.

6. The Responsibility to Reduce Waste: A Human Rights Obligation

The management of chemical waste is one of the most acute human rights challenges of the contemporary era. According to the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), approximately 8 million metric tonnes of plastic - much of it chemically laden - enter the world's oceans annually. Industrial chemical waste, improperly disposed of in landfills or surface water bodies, contaminates drinking water sources, devastates agricultural land, and causes severe public health consequences in surrounding communities. These consequences are distributed profoundly unequally, with communities in the Global South and indigenous populations bearing a vastly disproportionate share of the burden.

The responsibility to reduce waste is not merely a matter of good environmental practice - it is a human rights obligation. The Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal (1989) ^[11] recognized that the export of toxic waste from industrialized to developing nations constitutes a form of environmental injustice with profound human rights implications. The Special Rapporteur on Human Rights and Hazardous Substances, established by the UN Human Rights Council, has consistently documented the human rights violations associated with the dumping of toxic chemical waste in vulnerable communities.

The twelve principles of green chemistry, beginning with Waste Prevention (Principle 1), establish a comprehensive

framework for addressing this human rights obligation. The following principles are particularly salient in this regard:

- **Principle 1: Waste Prevention:** It is better to prevent waste than to treat or clean it up after it has been created. This principle obliges producers to internalize the full environmental and social costs of chemical waste, rather than externalizing them onto vulnerable communities.
- **Principle 2: Atom Economy:** Synthetic methods should be designed to maximize the incorporation of all materials used in the process into the final product. Greater atom economy means less chemical waste generated per unit of product, directly reducing the potential for toxic contamination.
- **Principle 5: Safer Solvents and Auxiliaries:** The use of auxiliary substances (solvents, separation agents, etc.) should be made unnecessary wherever possible. Solvents represent a major source of chemical waste; their minimization or elimination directly reduces waste-related health risks.
- **Principle 10: Design for Degradation:** Chemical products should be designed so that at the end of their function they break down into innocuous degradation products and do not persist in the environment. This principle directly addresses the human rights threat posed by persistent environmental pollutants.
- **Principle 11: Real-Time Analysis for Pollution Prevention:** Further development is needed to allow analytical methodologies to permit real-time, in-process monitoring and control before the formation of hazardous substances. Real-time monitoring enables early intervention to prevent pollution events that threaten community health rights.

Together, these principles constitute a rights-respecting framework for chemical production that recognizes the producer's responsibility not to impose the costs of chemical waste on individuals and communities who have no voice in production decisions. This aligns with the principle of the right to participation in environmental decision-making, enshrined in Principle 10 of the Rio Declaration and operationalized through instruments such as the Aarhus Convention.

It is also important to note that the duty to reduce chemical waste has economic dimensions that intersect with human rights. The costs of toxic waste remediation - often borne by public health systems and taxpayers in affected communities - represent a transfer of wealth from vulnerable populations to industrial producers. Green chemistry, by designing out waste at the source, prevents this unjust economic transfer and contributes to the realization of the right to an adequate standard of living under Article 11 of the ICESCR.

7. Discussion: Toward a Rights-Based Framework for Chemical Governance

The analysis presented in this paper reveals that green chemistry is not simply a scientific methodology - it is a moral and political project grounded in the recognition of universal human rights. The alignment between the twelve principles of green chemistry and the international human rights framework is not coincidental; it reflects a shared commitment to the protection of human dignity, health, and

environmental integrity.

However, significant challenges remain in translating the principles of green chemistry into widespread industrial practice. The chemical industry, like all sectors of the global economy, operates within a framework of market incentives that frequently fail to account for the full social and environmental costs of production. The externalization of these costs - onto communities, ecosystems, and future generations - constitutes a systemic failure of both market mechanisms and regulatory frameworks.

Addressing this failure requires a multi-pronged approach. First, regulatory frameworks must be strengthened to mandate the adoption of green chemistry principles across chemical production and use. The European Union's Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) regulation represents a significant step in this direction, but its implementation remains incomplete, and equivalent frameworks are lacking in many parts of the world. The adoption of a binding international convention on chemical safety, grounded in a human rights framework, would represent a major advance.

Second, the human rights obligations of corporations - including chemical manufacturers - must be more clearly articulated and enforced. The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) establish that corporations have a responsibility to respect human rights and to provide access to remedy when their operations cause harm. This responsibility extends to the chemical industry and encompasses the obligation to adopt safer chemical design and production practices. The UNGPs, combined with emerging mandatory human rights due diligence legislation in the EU and other jurisdictions, create a legal and normative framework that can drive the adoption of green chemistry.

Third, investment in research and development for green chemistry must be dramatically increased. The transition from conventional to green chemical processes requires significant upfront investment, which may be a barrier for smaller producers or those operating in developing economies. International development finance institutions, green climate funds, and national governments must recognize green chemistry as a priority area for sustainable development finance.

Finally, education and capacity building are essential. The integration of green chemistry principles into university curricula, professional training programs, and continuing education for chemists and chemical engineers is critical for building the human capital necessary to drive the green chemistry transition. Equally important is raising public awareness of the links between chemical safety, environmental quality, and human rights, so that communities can advocate more effectively for their rights in the face of chemical industry pressures.

8. Conclusion

Green chemistry represents one of the most promising pathways toward a future in which industrial development is fully compatible with the realization of universal human rights. By eliminating harmful substances at the design stage, minimizing chemical waste, promoting the use of safer and renewable materials, and designing for long-term environmental safety, green chemistry directly supports the

rights to life, health, a clean environment, and the environmental rights of future generations.

The alignment of green chemistry with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals - particularly SDG 3, SDG 6, and SDG 12 - demonstrates that sustainable chemical innovation is not a peripheral concern but a central pillar of the global development agenda. The principle of intergenerational equity, which underpins both sustainable development and green chemistry's emphasis on long-term safety, reflects a profound moral commitment to the rights of those who will inherit the world we are currently creating.

The twelve principles of green chemistry, particularly those addressing waste prevention, atom economy, safer design, and pollution prevention, constitute an operational framework for translating human rights obligations into scientific and industrial practice. They demonstrate that the responsibility to respect and protect human rights - long recognized as an obligation of states and corporations - can and must be embedded in the very practice of chemistry itself.

Ultimately, green chemistry paves the way to a safe and sustainable industrial future through chemical innovations that directly support the foundational values of human rights: health, dignity, equity, and environmental protection. The challenge now is to move from principle to practice - to embed these values in regulatory frameworks, corporate accountability mechanisms, research priorities, and professional education. In doing so, we can ensure that chemistry, far from being a source of human rights violations, becomes one of the most powerful instruments for their realization.

9. References

1. Anastas PT, Warner JC. Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice. New York: Oxford University Press; c1998.
2. World Commission on Environment and Development. Our Common Future. Oxford: Oxford University Press; c1987.
3. United Nations General Assembly. Resolution 76/300: The Human Right to a Clean, Healthy and Sustainable Environment. UN Doc. A/RES/76/300. New York: United Nations; c2022.
4. United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. UN Doc. A/RES/70/1. New York: United Nations; c2015.
5. World Health Organization. Chemical Safety and Human Health: Global Status Report. Geneva: World Health Organization; c2023.
6. United Nations Environment Programme. Global Chemicals Outlook II: From Legacies to Innovative Solutions. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme; c2021.
7. United Nations. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. UN Treaty Series. Vol. 993. New York: United Nations; c1966.
8. United Nations. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. UN Treaty Series. Vol. 999. New York: United Nations; c1966.
9. European Commission. Regulation (EC) No. 1907/2006 Concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH). Official Journal of the European Union. 2006.
10. United Nations. Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights: Implementing the United Nations "Protect, Respect and Remedy" Framework. UN Doc. A/HRC/17/31. New York: United Nations; c2011.
11. United Nations Environment Programme. Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme; c1989.
12. Sheldon RA. Fundamentals of green chemistry: Efficiency in reaction design. Chem Soc Rev. 2012;41(4):1437-1451.
13. Boyd DR. The Right to a Healthy Environment: Revitalizing Canada's Constitution. Vancouver: UBC Press; c2020.
14. United Nations. Rio Declaration on Environment and Development. UN Doc. A/CONF.151/26 (Vol. I). New York: United Nations; c1992.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.